#### **INFORMATION BULLETIN**



#### JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT

Number: B98-108

Date: June 18, 1999 Expiration Date: 12/31/99

69:125:jo:2912

TO: SERVICE DELIVERY AREA ADMINISTRATORS

PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL CHAIRPERSONS

JTPD PROGRAM OPERATORS

EDD JOB SERVICE OFFICE MANAGERS

JTPD STAFF

SUBJECT: SDA ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

The minutes and agenda from the Service Delivery Area (SDA) Advisory Committee meeting held as a conference call in Sacramento on Tuesday, May 25, 1999, are attached for your review and information. Please ensure that the minutes are provided to the appropriate staff.

If you have any questions regarding the minutes, please contact Jackie Owen at (916) 654-8006.

/S/ BILL BURKE
Assistant Deputy Director

Attachments

# SERVICE DELIVERY AREA ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONFERENCE CALL

Job Training Partnership Division (JTPD) 750 N Street, Park Complex West Sacramento, California Tuesday, May 25, 1999

### Agenda

12:00 p.m. Draft Proposal for Distribution of

Program Year 1999-2000 \$5.4 Million

**Local Planning Funds Under the** 

**Workforce Investment Act** 

Vicki Grönbeck, Workforce

**Investment Transition** 

**Division** 

1:00 p.m. Draft Proposal for Technical

**Assistance and Training Funds** 

Bill Burke, JTPD

Agenda Adjustments: None

## SERVICE DELIVERY AREA (SDA) ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONFERENCE CALL MINUTES

Tuesday, May 25, 1999

<u>Planning Funds Under the Workforce Investment Act (WIA)</u> — Vicki Grönbeck, Workforce Investment Transition Division, confirmed that all call participants had received a facsimile copy of the draft proposal. She then asked for feedback from members relative to the concept of the draft proposal. Ray Worden, Long Beach City SDA, was in general agreement with the draft proposal. Charlie Peterson, North Central Counties Consortium, agreed with the idea of soliciting Local Elected Officials (LEO) of their intent to designate as a Local Workforce Investment Area (LWIA). The LEOs solicited would represent existing SDAs who meet permanent or temporary designation status under WIA requirements, as well as general units of government representing populations of 500,000 and above.

Vicki clarified that a blanket letter of intent would need to be sent to all SDAs at some point to determine their intent to apply or not apply as a LWIA.

Based on the draft proposal to divide the \$5.4 million into two rounds of funding, Mike Curran, North Santa Clara Valley Job Training Consortium (NOVA), recommended increasing the first round (\$3.0 million) to \$4.0 million thereby providing an increase of \$2.6 million or \$50,000 to each SDA. The remaining \$1.4 million could be distributed to SDAs based on their allocated formula percentage. The second round (\$2.4 million) reduced to \$1.4 million could be set aside and revisited after LWIA designation took place. The pros and cons of the recommendation and method of fund distribution were discussed at length.

Ray Worden advocated a minimum funding threshold for all SDAs in round one and a portion distributed using the SDAs' allocated formula percentage.

For discussion purposes, Mike Curran, NOVA, recommended the following second alternative to the draft proposal: Allocate \$4.0 million in round one, providing each SDA a minimum threshold of \$50,000 for WIA planning purposes. The remaining \$1.4 million would be distributed to the ten largest SDAs with a population of 200,000 and above that have passed current year performance standards. The \$1.4 million could be allocated based on the SDA's allocated formula percentage. The remaining 42 SDAs could not receive any portion of the \$1.4 million, having previously received the \$50,000 minimum threshold. Round two (\$1.4 million) would be set aside and revisited after LWIA designation took place.

Bob Lanter, California Workforce Association (CWA), advocated allocating as much of the \$5.4 million to all SDAs as soon as possible.

Jose Luis Marquez, One-Stop Office, pointed out that eight SDAs are in line to receive \$100,000 in One-Stop planning and transition grants and asked whether the SDAs receiving a One-Stop grant would be included with the 42 SDAs that received the \$50,000 minimum threshold. There was general discussion of the concept but no decision was reached that SDAs receiving a One-Stop grant should be excluded from receiving any portion of the \$1.4 million from round one.

Ray Worden, Long Beach City, proposed the following: Allocate \$2.6 million (\$50,000) to each SDA in round one. Allocate the remaining \$1.4 million as a flat amount to the mandatory SDAs. Set aside round two (\$1.4 million) for those areas designated as a LWIA.

Vicki Grönbeck will provide the recommended alternatives to the draft proposal for input from Kathy Mailer, Deputy Director, Workforce Investment Branch, and forward a final draft proposal to Bob Lanter, CWA, for distribution and comment among the CWA membership.

<u>Draft Proposal for Technical Assistance and Training (TAT) Funds</u> — Bill Burke, Assistant Deputy Director, Job Training Partnership Division, outlined the proposed uses for the approximately \$290,000 available for TAT from the Department of Labor (DOL), Region IX.

Approximately \$156,000 (\$3,000 per SDA) could be used for training related to One-Stop and WIA transition. The remainder of the funds could be used for training based on SDAs' response to the CWA TAT Needs Assessment Survey. Uses for the TAT funds could include:

- Approximately \$47,000 to conduct regional forums pertaining to WIA transition and One-Stop integration issues;
- Approximately \$45,000 to conduct regional summits to help ensure Youth Councils become an integral part of WIA; and
- Approximately \$45,000 to provide down-link training by the National Association of Counties.

There was general agreement among the members on the draft proposal.

Bill Burke indicated that DOL may not approve the direct funding approach (\$156,000) to the SDAs and will follow up with Lynn Rhodes, DOL, to get clarification. If direct funding is not appropriate, Bill Burke recommended maintaining the concept of the draft proposal and to increase the funding amounts to the remaining three TAT activities. All parties agreed with the recommendation.

The conference call concluded at 1:20 p.m.